

As a retired Australian public health epidemiologist I urge the Parliament of New Zealand to **oppose fluoridation of all water supplies in the best interests of pure water, public health, medical ethics and environmental best practice.**

This **archaic scam**, similar in scope to asbestos and tobacco, courtesy of the fluoride waste industry and its obsequious acolytes, entails funneling **imprecise dosages of Schedule 6 hazardous industrial waste**, mostly hexafluorosilicic acid (HFSA), direct from phosphate fertilizer and aluminium smelting smokestacks, into municipal water supplies.

Fluoride has long been used as an effective rodenticide (rat poison). It is **extremely toxic**. The World Health Organisation (WHO) currently lists fluoride as **one of five most dangerous polluting chemicals**, rating its **toxicity above lead and just below arsenic**. WHO target levels for lead and arsenic are **ZERO**. The **British Medical Journal has adjudged fluoride a serious neuro-toxin**. **Fluoride has been assessed to be carcinogenic, mutagenic, teratogenic and a human growth inhibitor.**

We are considering **long-term whole-body physiology health outcomes** here, not just teeth. Fluoride ingestion is **not magically quarantined to tooth enamel and dentine**. It **infiltrates all parts of the human body**. Fluoride is linked to **cumulative long-term human toxicity, including fluorosis, bone, brain, thyroid, kidney, liver, nerve ailments and retarded growth in children**. Colgate, American and Australian (national) Dental Associations, and New South Wales Department Of Health warn that **young children should not use any fluoride toothpaste**. **In fact most health authorities recommend children ingest zero fluoride.**

Colgate sells a fluoride-free toothpaste in the USA. This is not well-publicised so as to avoid scrutiny, and perhaps potential litigation? **Have you closely studied health warnings on fluoride toothpaste lately? Fluoride toothpaste contains up to 1500 times the concentration to that of fluoridated tap water. Are you aware the maximum recommended single daily dose of fluoride toothpaste for adults is the size of a pea only? If you lace your brush with fluoride toothpaste multiple times per day, you are severely poisoning yourself.**

Water fluoridation has NOT been scientifically proven to assist dental health according to the latest World Health Organisation CAPP/DMFT dental health data. There is **no discernible difference between countries who fluoridate and those who do not**. Check this data yourself at these web-sites: http://www.who.int/oral_health/databases/en/ and <http://www.mah.se/CAPP/Methods-and-Indices/for-Caries-prevalence/> .

Dental health improvements in more recent times are due to **multiple factors** including better cleaning techniques, efficient tooth brush designs, dental floss, regular dental appointments, orthodontics and avoidance of acidic foods and beverages. **Many eminent dentists around the world and in New Zealand acknowledge this and are steadfastly opposed to fluoridation.** There is **NO peer-reviewed, longitudinal, double-blind, single-factor, control-group, comparative, correlated science available to support fluoride in dental health.** None. **If you see any purported "evidence" it is merely self-serving industry propaganda, unfounded and self-funded.**

Over 95% of our world's population does NOT fluoridate water supplies, including 98% of Europe, most of Latin America and Africa, Japan, China, Israel and India. Last year 850,000 US citizens ditched water fluoridation. Globally the trend is against fluoridation - a costly, toxic failure.

Figure it out for yourself. **Is fluoridation the magic bullet to end dental caries? In some cities they've had over 60 years to experiment on human guinea-pigs. The long-term results? Have all dentists ceased to treat patients' dental caries or suddenly disappeared off the face of the earth because of fluoridation? Obviously not.**

Where does most fluoridated water actually end up? Aside from people's stomachs, livers and kidneys initially when consumed orally, most fluoridated water enters the environment from

washing cars, clothes and dishes, watering lawns and gardens, flushing of toilets, filling of swimming pools, and mass agricultural irrigation, where fluoride, as an unwelcome contaminant, enters ground water and the food chain. These are already beset by inorganic chemicals, anti-biotic and steroidal residue. New Zealand promotes a clean and green image in primary product export drives seen around the world. These days all markets determinedly source clean and green imports. What would happen if significant fluoride contamination of Kiwi export products were discovered say, in Europe, China or Japan, where fluoride in food and beverages is not tolerated?

Possibly of greatest concern, what of **medical ethics, human rights and freedom of choice**? This should be about **the right to make well-informed healthy choices, including by all New Zealand citizens**. Not for politicians to meekly rubber-stamp rigidly-enforced "medication" that is currently the subject of **worldwide anger, ridicule and well-warranted opposition**, "because it's been that way for a long time".

All New Zealanders should have the right to informed consent to medical treatment, and to withdraw consent where they see fit. For those who choose fluoride there are tablets, toothpaste and dentist therapy; easily accessed and far cheaper for all concerned.

During World War II and the Holocaust, under the German Nazi regime, **medical experiments were conducted on victims of the Third Reich**. Sadly my uncle was one of those victims. With a heavy heart I heard about what was done. In 1946, as a direct result of many such gruesome revelations, the Australian Constitution had the following clause inserted:

"COMMONWEALTH OF AUSTRALIA CONSTITUTION ACT - SECT 51
Legislative powers of the Parliament

The Parliament shall, subject to this Constitution, have power to make laws for the peace, order, and good government of the Commonwealth with respect to:

.....
(xxiiiA) the provision of maternity allowances, widows' pensions, child endowment, unemployment, pharmaceutical, sickness and hospital benefits, **medical and dental services (but not so as to authorize any form of civil conscription)**, benefits to students and family allowances;

I quote Attorney Deal from the state of Oregon, USA, long burdened with enforced fluoridation:

"The forced-fluoridation experiment is **medical mal-practice on an industrial scale**. Fluoridation chemicals are **the only medications which are delivered via public water supplies**. Medicating public water supplies with any chemical **violates the human rights and medical ethics principle of informed consent to medical intervention**, is **entirely indiscriminate**, results in the **random dosing of residents since exposure to the chemical is uncontrolled**, and is **environmentally irresponsible** because the **vast majority of tap water is not ingested**, so the environmental load is vastly greater than it would otherwise be. Medicating public water supplies means that **politicians are subjecting everyone to treatment which no doctor can legally impose on anyone**, and is surely the most ham-fisted method of drug delivery ever devised....."

In closing, once again **I urge the Parliament of New Zealand to oppose fluoridation of all water supplies in the best interests of pure water, public health, medical ethics and environmental best practice.**

Rob Slazenger
NSW
AUSTRALIA
1st February 2017